

#### MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3077 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

2 0 AUG 2016

### C.A. NO. 67 OF 2016 IN O.A. NO. 676 OF 2016.

Shri. Pandharinath Raghunath Dhokane.
 R/at. Sai Krishna Apartment, Row House No.3, Chetna Nagar,
 Nashik-9.

....APPLICANT/S.

#### **VERSUS**

- 1 Shri S. Jagannathan, The Commissioner of Police, Nashik, Having office at Nashik Police Commissionerate Nashik.
- 3 Shri Swadhin Kshatriya, The Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra, Having office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.
- 2 Shri Satbir Singh The Principal Secretary, Home Dept., The State of Maharashtra, Having office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **18**<sup>th</sup> day of **August, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE

18.08.2016.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\August-16\19.08.2016\C.A. No. 67 of 2016 in O.A. No. 676 of 16-18.08.16.doc

# TRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

## CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Coram,<br>or<br>iers        | Tribunal's orders   | Tribunal's orders                 |  |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
|                             | C.A. No.67 of 2016 in O.A. No.676 of 2016   |                                   |  |
|                             | Shri P.K. Dhokane<br>Vs.  | Applicant                         |  |
|                             | The State of Maharashtra & Ors  | Respondents                       |  |
|                             | Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate states that applicant, who is present, desires not to proceed with this |                                   |  |
|                             |   |                                   |  |
|                             |   |                                   |  |
|                             |   |                                   |  |
|                             | cA.   | t to proceed with this            |  |
|                             | 3. After considering the matter and request of the  |                                   |  |
|                             | applicant, it is considered that it is not neceproceed with the CA.   |                                   |  |
| •                           | proceed with the Ork.   |                                   |  |
|                             | 4. CA is disposed off accordingly.  |                                   |  |
|                             |   | sd_                               |  |
| 23 (a)<br><del>2) (</del> ) | Cl  | . Joshi, J.<br>nairman<br>.8.2016 |  |
| lar                         | (sgj)   |                                   |  |

TRUE COPY

Acatt Registrar/Research Officer
Menarashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.

coodhath

\$te